#### An Improved Algorithm for Incremental DFS Tree in Undirected Graphs

#### Lijie Chen<sup>1</sup>, Ran Duan<sup>2</sup>, Ruosong Wang<sup>3</sup>, Hanrui Zhang<sup>4</sup>, **Tianyi Zhang<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>MIT, <sup>2</sup>Tsinghua University, <sup>3</sup>CMU, <sup>4</sup>Duke University

# **Definition: DFS tree**

- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E) with a designated root
- DFS tree: a maximal tree containing the root, where every non-tree edge connects an ancestor and a descendant



Not a DFS tree

# **Definition: Incremental DFS tree**

Data structure

• Maintain a DFS tree T in graph G

Update operations

- Input: insert an edge/vertex to G
- Output: print all edges of T















#### Progress on incremental DFS

• n = # of vertices, m = # of edges

Reference	Naïve	[BK'14]	[BCC+'16]	[NS'17]	New
Update time	O(m+n)	O(n)	$O(n \log^3 n)$	$O(n\log n)$	O(n)
Space	O(m+n)	O(m+n)	$O(m \log n)$	$O(m \log n)$ in bits	$O(m \log n)$
Worst- case?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### Reduction to batch insertion

#### Main Theorem:

- Preprocess graph G in  $O(\min\{m \log n, n^2\})$  time
- Input: a set *U* of *k* edge insertions
- **Output:** a DFS tree of G+U in O(n+k)











**Revert & reroot** 



How to relocate this subtree?

**Revert & reroot** 





How to relocate this subtree? Find the highest ancestor...




































## Batch insertions [BCC+'16]

Bottleneck: finding highest ancestors on reverted paths











































Tool I: 2D-range query





2D-range minimum takes  $O(\log n)$  time

Tool I: 2D-range query





**2D-range minimum** takes  $O(\log n)$  time

Total time 'd be  $O(k + n \log n)$ 

Tool II: Fractional cascading & tree partitioning

**Tool II: Fractional cascading** & tree partitioning

- **Input:** integer *x*
- Output: successors of x in each array, in time  $O(k + \log m)$

**Tool II: Fractional cascading** & tree partitioning

- **Input:** integer *x*
- **Output:** successors of x in each array, in time  $O(k + \log m)$



**Tool II: Fractional cascading** & tree partitioning

- Input: integer x
- **Output:** successors of x in each array, in time  $O(k + \log m)$



**Tool II: Fractional cascading** & tree partitioning

- Input: integer x
- **Output:** successors of x in each array, in time  $O(k + \log m)$



Tool II: Fractional cascading & tree partitioning

Lemma: [DZ'17]

- Input: integer k
- Output: remove O(n/k) special vertices to partition T into subtrees of size at most k

Tool II: Fractional cascading & tree partitioning

Lemma: [DZ'17]

- Input: integer k
- Output: remove O(n/k) special vertices to partition T into subtrees of size at most k



Tool II: Fractional cascading & tree partitioning

Lemma: [DZ'17]

- Input: integer k
- Output: remove O(n/k) special vertices to partition T into subtrees of size at most k



Tool II: Fractional cascading & tree partitioning

Lemma: [DZ'17]

- Input: integer k
- **Output:** remove O(n/k) special vertices to partition *T* into subtrees of size at most *k*



New data structure for finding highest ancestors



New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 



New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 



New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 



Build fractional cascading on a bunch of subtrees



New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$  Build fractional cascading on a bunch of subtrees





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 





New data structure for finding highest ancestors

Apply tree partition with  $k = \log n$ So every subtree has size  $O(\log n)$ 



**Precompute** for every choice of reverted tree path below the nearest special ancestor



 $O(n \log n)$  entries in total

# Highest ancestors in O(n) total time

Consider three cases below
Consider three cases below



The reverted tree path contains no special vertices

Consider three cases below



Use precomputed entries *O(1)* time

The reverted tree path contains no special vertices

Consider three cases below



Use precomputed entries O(1) time

Total time = O(n)

The reverted tree path contains no special vertices

Consider three cases below



The reverted tree path contains a special vertex, and subtree-size > *log n* 

Consider three cases below



Apply 2D-range minimum O(log n) time

The reverted tree path contains a special vertex, and subtree-size > *log n* 

Consider three cases below



The reverted tree path contains a special vertex, and subtree-size > *log n*  Apply 2D-range minimum O(log n) time

One can prove this happens at most *O(n / log n)* times, so total time becomes *O(n)* 

Consider three cases below



A bunch of subtrees containing no special vertices

Consider three cases below



Apply fractional-cascade O(subtree-sizes + log n) time

A bunch of subtrees containing no special vertices

Consider three cases below



Apply fractional-cascade O(subtree-sizes + *log n*) time

Sum of subtree-sizes = O(n)

A bunch of subtrees containing no special vertices

Consider three cases below



A bunch of subtrees containing no special vertices Apply fractional-cascade O(subtree-sizes + log n) time

Sum of subtree-sizes = O(n)

One can prove this happens at most *O(n / log n)* times, so total time becomes *O(n)* 

Consider three cases below



Apply fractional-cascade O(subtree-sizes + log n) time

Sum of subtree-sizes = O(n)

One can prove this happens at most *O(n / log n)* times, so total time becomes *O(n)* 

A bunch of subtrees containing no special vertices

Summary: total time is O(n)

# Thanks